

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,008	10/14/2003	Jochen Heinz	72570	4299
23872 MCGLEW & T	7590 09/25/2007 CUTTLE, PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
	GH, NY 10510-9227		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/685,008	HEINZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew F. DeSanto	3763	

	Matthew F. DeSanto	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLA		· .	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior this application, applicant must timely file one of t places the application in condition for allowance; a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in continued	to or on the same day as filing a Notice the following replies: (1) an amendment (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid a t, affidavit, or other evic) in compliance with 37	bandonment of lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the ma	illing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for repl Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	y expire later than SIX MONTHS from the m ox (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final reje	ection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perioder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date to forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Only reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR NOTICE OF APPEAL	The date on which the petition under 37 CFI riod of extension and the corresponding amo te of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appro originally set in the final (opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re (a) They raise new issues that would require function (b) They are not deemed to place the application.	urther consideration and/or search (see DTE below);	NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or			ig the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without cand NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		/ rejected claims.	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n-Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:		1-Oomphant Amendme	int (1 1012-02-7).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wornon-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amend	ment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendmen how the new or amended claims would be rejected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	ed is provided below or appended.	will be entered and a	n explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e) 	good and sufficient reasons why the aff		
$\Theta_{\cdot} igsqcup$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is n			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An ex 	xplanation of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or att	ached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n considered but does NOT also #	onlination inlit	for allowers
 11. \int The request for reconsideration has been because: 	n considered but does NOT place the a	pplication in condition	for allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	<u></u>	
13.			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner disagrees with the interpretation of the claim language. The terms injection molded and welded (as well as bonded and glued) are processes that occur that describe how the structure is formed. According to the MPEP section 2113, the patentability of a product doesn't depend on its method of production, the determination of patentability is based on the product itself, and therefore the arguments drawn to welding and single injection molded are considered but not given much patentable weight. The examiner suggestions applicants look at MPEP section 2113 to understand how the examiner is interpreting the claim language. As for the term one-piece construction, the examiner determines that the prior art will be a one-piece construction once the device is assembled thus forming one piece and therefore reading on the claimed limitations .

MATTHEW DESANTO AU 3763 9/20/07